



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,467	11/05/2003	Masayuki Tajiri	4074-10	8801
23117 7590 09/28/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			EXAMINER LAM, DAVID	
			ART UNIT 2827	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/700,467

Applicant(s)

TAJIRI, MASAYUKI

Examiner

David Lam

Art Unit

2827

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-6, 11-13, 27 and 29-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-6, 11-13, 27 and 29-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The office action is in response to amendment file on 7/26/07.
 - Claims 1, 7-10, 14-26, 28 have been cancelled.
 - Claims 2-6, 11-13, 27, 29-35 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 2, 11, 27, 30-31, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Admitted Prior Art (APA).

Regarding to claims 2-4, 11, the Admitted Prior Art (APA) discloses a nonvolatile variable resistor comprising: a first electrode (1) and a second electrode (3) facing each other and formed on a substrate (9); a nonvolatile variable resistance body (2) formed between the first electrode and the second electrode, wherein the first electrode and the second electrode face each other in a direction of a surface of the substrate wherein the nonvolatile variable resistance body is formed on an outer surface of the first electrode, and the second electrode is formed on an outer surface of the nonvolatile variable resistance body; wherein the first electrode is a columnar and the nonvolatile variable resistance body is made of manganese

Art Unit: 2827

oxide of a perovskite structure; wherein the nonvolatile variable resistance body is made of manganese oxide of a perovskite structure; wherein the manganese oxide is $\text{Pr.sub.0.7Ca.sub.0.3MnO.sub.3}$. . See at least Fig. 7, for example of ¶ [0003].

Regarding to claims 27, 29, the Admitted Prior Art (APA) discloses a nonvolatile variable resistor comprising: a first electrode (1) and a second electrode (3) facing each other and formed on a substrate (9); a semiconductor switching element (5) formed in the substrate, the switching element being connected to the first electrode; a nonvolatile variable resistance body formed between the first electrode and the second electrode, a composition of the nonvolatile variable resistance body being chosen to facilitate nonvolatility of the variable resistor; wherein the first electrode and the second electrode face each other in a direction of a surface of the substrate, wherein the nonvolatile variable resistance body is formed on an outer surface of the first electrode, and wherein the second electrode is formed on an outer surface of the nonvolatile variable resistance body; wherein the first electrode is a columnar. See at least Figs. 9, 11, for example of ¶ [0005] and ¶s [00013-00014].

Regarding to claims 30-31, the Admitted Prior Art (APA) discloses a nonvolatile variable resistor comprising: a first electrode (1) and a second electrode (3) facing each other and formed on a substrate (9); and a nonvolatile variable resistance body (2), a read-out resistance value of which varies by applying a voltage pulse (V_p) between the first electrode and the second electrode, formed between the first electrode and the second electrode, wherein the first electrode and the second electrode face each other in a direction of a surface of the substrate; wherein the

Art Unit: 2827

nonvolatile variable resistance body is made of a manganese oxide of a perovskite structure. *See at least Fig. 7, for example of ¶ [0003].*

Regarding to claim 35, the Admitted Prior Art (APA) discloses a memory cell comprising: a nonvolatile variable resistor (Rv); and a selective device (5), connected to the nonvolatile variable resistor, for selecting variable resistor, wherein the nonvolatile variable resistor comprising: a first electrode (1) and a second electrode (3) facing each other and formed on a substrate (9); and a nonvolatile variable resistance body (2), a resistance value of which varies reversibly by applying a voltage pulse (Vp) between the first electrode and the second electrode, formed between the first electrode and the second electrode, and the first electrode and the second electrode face each other in a direction of a surface of the substrate. *See at least Figs. 9, 11, for example of ¶ [0005] and ¶s [00013-00014].*

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 5-6, 12-13, 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA).

With respect to claims 5-6, 12-13, the Admitted Prior Art (APA) disclosed all the limitation of the claimed invention as noted above except for the manganese oxide is any of

Art Unit: 2827

“ $\text{Pr}_{(1-x)}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$, $\text{La}_{(1-x)}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$, and $\text{La}_{(1-x-y)}\text{Ca}_x\text{Pb}_y\text{MnO}_3$ ”. It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form the manganese oxide of the Admitted Prior Art (APA) from any of “ $\text{Pr}_{(1-x)}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$, $\text{La}_{(1-x)}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$, and $\text{La}_{(1-x-y)}\text{Ca}_x\text{Pb}_y\text{MnO}_3$ ”, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *NOTE: for example of at least claim 5 of Liu et al. (6,762,481) cited to support the known position.*

With respect to claim 34, the Admitted Prior Art (APA) disclosed all the limitation of the claimed invention as noted above except for the second electrode is concentric about the first electrode. Forming electrodes that is concentric would have been known and available in the art. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form the second electrode of the Admitted Prior Art (APA) is concentric about the first electrode, since it has been held to be within the general skill that the shape difference are considered obvious and are not patentable unless unobvious or unexpected results are obtained from these changes. *NOTE: for example of at least Figs. 2-3 of Watanabe (6,104,055) cited to support the known position.*

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Applicant's arguments on pages 6-7 of the remarks, the Admitted Prior Art (APA) fails to disclose or suggest that "the first electrode and the second electrode face each other in a direction parallel to a surface of the substrate" The Examiner disagrees with this statement because the Admitted Prior Art (APA) disclose the first electrode (1) and the second electrode (3) face each other in a direction parallel to a surface of the substrate (9). Thus, the rejection of claims 2-6, 11-13, 27, 29-35 set for above is proper. *See at least Figs. 7, 11-12.*

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1782. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 – 4:30.

Art Unit: 2827

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. Lam

September 24, 2007



DAVID LAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER